

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM**

**PRESENT :**

**THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANTONY DOMINIC**

**TUESDAY, THE 30TH OCTOBER 2007 / 8TH KARTHIKA 1929**

**WP(C).No. 27195 of 2007(K)**  
-----

**PETITIONER:**  
-----

**V.P.JANARDHANAN,VETTUKAD HOUSE,  
MANJALUR P.O., PALAKKAD-678 502.**

**BY ADV. SRI.P.RAVINDRAN**

**RESPONDENTS:**  
-----

**1. THE REGIONAL TRANSPORT AUTHORITY,  
REP. BY ITS SECRETARY,PALAKKAD.**

**2. THE SECRETARY, RTA, PALAKKAD.**

**3. R.RAJAN, S/O. RAMANKUTTY,  
SARASWATHI NIVAS,KURICHAMKULAM P.O.,  
KALLEKKAD,PALAKKAD.**

**BY ADV. SRI.G.HARIHARAN  
BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI.M.R.SABU**

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD  
ON 22/10/2007, THE COURT ON 30/10/2007 DELIVERED THE  
FOLLOWING:**

**W.P.(C)NO. 27195/2007**

**APPENDIX**

**PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS**

**EXT.P1:- COPY OF THE PERMIT NO.C3/05/05/P.**

**EXT.P2:- COPY OF THE NOTIFICATION DT. 9.5.07.**

**EXT.P3:- COPY OF THE DECISION OF THE RATA REJECTING THE APPLICATION.**

**EXT.P4:- COPY OF THE DECISION OF THE RTA GRANTING REGULAR PERMIT TO THE 3RD RESPONDENT.**

**EXT.P5:- COPY OF THE DECISION OF THE RTA GRANTING REGULAR PERMIT TO THE 3RD RESPONDENT.**

**EXT.P6:- COPY OF THE OBJECTION SUBMITTED BY THE PETITIONER BEFORE THE 1ST RESPONDENT DT. 5.9.07.**

**EXT.P7:- COPY OF THE REPRESENTATION .**

**/TRUE COPY/**

**P.S. TO JUDGE**

**tss**

**ANTONY DOMINIC, J.**

**= = = = =**  
**W.P.(C) No. 27195 OF 2007 K**  
**= = = = =**

**Dated this the 30<sup>th</sup> October, 2007**

**J U D G M E N T**

In this writ petition the complaint of the writ petitioner is that in violation of Ext. P2 nationalisation scheme the 3<sup>rd</sup> respondent has been granted a regular permit. Following this, petitioner submitted representations which did not evoke any response and hence this writ petition.

2. Though the relief sought for is to direct the respondents to consider the representation I am not inclined to pass such an order in this writ petition. Essentially the request made in the representation is to cancel the grant of a regular permit. This is a relief which the petitioner can seek only in a revision before the Tribunal against the grant of permit.

3. No extra-ordinary grounds for interference in a writ petition has been made out. Writ petition fails and is dismissed.

**ANTONY DOMINIC**  
**JUDGE**

jan/-